Thermodynamical Behavior of Inhomogeneous Universe with Varying Λ in Presence of Electromagnetic Field

Anil Kumar Yadav

Received: 14 December 2009 / Accepted: 25 February 2010 / Published online: 19 March 2010 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract Thermodynamical behavior of inhomogeneous universe with varying Λ in presence of electromagnetic field is obtained. F_{12} is the non-vanishing component of electromagnetic field tensor. To get a deterministic solution, it is assumed that the free gravitational field is Petrov type-II non-degenerate. The value of cosmological constant is found to be small and positive supported by recent results from the supernovae observations recently obtained by High-Z Supernovae Ia Team and Supernovae Cosmological Project. A relation between cosmological constant and thermodynamical quantities is established. Some physical and geometric properties of the model are also discussed.

Keywords Cosmology · Electromagnetic field · Inhomogeneous universe

1 Introduction

A lesson given by the history of cosmology is that the concept of the cosmological term revives in the days of crisis and we have more reasons than ever to believe that the cosmological term is the necessary ingredient of any cosmological model. The possibility of adding a cosmological vacuum energy density to the Einstein field equations raises the question empirical justification of such a step. A positive cosmological constant helps overcome the age problem, connected on the one side with the high estimates of the Hubble parameters and with the age of the globular clusters on the other. Further, it seems that in order to retain the cold dark matter theory in the spatially flat universe most of the critical density should be provided by a positive cosmological constant [1, 2]. Observational data indicate that the cosmological constant, if nonzero, is smaller than 10^{-55} cm⁻². However, since everything that contributes to the vacuum energy acts as a cosmological constant it can not just be dropped without serious considerations. Moreover particle physics expectations for Λ exceeds its present value by the factor of order 10^{120} i.e. in a sharp contrast to observations. To explain this apparent discrepancy the point of view has been adopted which allow the Λ term to vary

A.K. Yadav (🖂)

Department of Physics, Anand Engineering College, Keetham, Agra 282 007, India e-mail: abanilyadav@yahoo.co.in

in time [3-12]. The idea of that during the evolution of universe the energy density of the vacuum decays into the particles thus leading to the decrease of the cosmological constant. As the result one has the creation of particles although the typical rate of the creation is very small.

The creation of matter and entropy from vacuum has been studied via quantum field theory in curved spacetime [13–15]. Most cosmological models exhibit a singularity which presents difficulties for interpreting quantum effects, because all macroscopic parameters of created particles are infinite there. This leads to the problem of the initial vacuum. A regular vacuum for species of the created particles can be defined in simple terms as a state where all mean values describing the particles, such as energy density, number density, entropy etc are zero. But this simple condition is not achieved in many scenarios, so that either one has to postulate an initial state beyond the singularity, or to assume that there was a non-zero number of particles at the initial vacuum. One attempt to overcome these problems is via incorporating the effect of particle creation into Einstein's field equations. In the present study I interpret the source of created particles as a decaying vacuum, described phenomenologically by a time-dependent cosmological constant $\Lambda(t)$.

There are significant observational evidence for the detection of Einsteins cosmological constant, Λ or a component of material content of the universe that varies slowly with time and space to act like Λ . Some of the recent discussions on the cosmological constant problem and on cosmology with a time-varying cosmological constant by Ratra and Peebles [16], and Sahni and Starobinsky [17], point out that in the absence of any interaction with matter or radiation, the cosmological constant remains a constant. However, in the presence of interactions with matter or radiation, a solution of Einstein equations and the assumed equation of covariant conservation of stress-energy with a time-varying Λ can be found. This entails that energy has to be conserved by a decrease in the energy density of the vacuum component followed by a corresponding increase in the energy density of matter or radiation (see also Carroll, Press and Turner [18], Peebles [19], Padmanabhan [20, 21]). There is a plethora of astrophysical evidence today, from supernovae measurements (Perlmutter et al. [22–24], Riess et al. [25–28], Garnavich et al. [29, 30], Schmidt et al. [31], Blakeslee et al. [32], Astier et al. [33]), the spectrum of fluctuations in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) [34], baryon oscillations [35] and other astrophysical data, indicating that the expansion of the universe is currently accelerating. The energy budget of the universe seems to be dominated at the present epoch by a mysterious dark energy component, but the precise nature of this energy is still unknown. Many theoretical models provide possible explanations for the dark energy, ranging from a cosmological term [36] to super-horizon perturbations [37, 38] and time- varying quintessence scenarios [39]. These recent observations strongly favor a significant and a positive value of Λ with magnitude $\Lambda(\frac{G\hbar}{r^3}) \approx 10^{-123}$.

The standard Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmological model prescribes a homogeneous and an isotropic distribution for its matter in the description of the present state of the universe. At the present state of evolution, the universe is spherically symmetric and the matter distribution in the universe is on the whole isotropic and homogeneous. But in early stages of evolution, it could have not had such a smoothed picture. Close to the big bang singularity, neither the assumption of spherical symmetry nor that of isotropy can be strictly valid. So we consider plane-symmetric, which is less restrictive than spherical symmetry and can provide an avenue to study inhomogeneities. Inhomogeneous cosmological models play an important role in understanding some essential features of the universe such as the formation of galaxies during the early stages of evolution and process of homogenization. The early attempts at the construction of such models have done by Tolman [40] and Bondi [41] who considered spherically symmetric models. Inhomogeneous planesymmetric models were considered by Taub [42, 43] and later by Tomimura [44], Szekeres [45]. Recently, Senovilla [46] obtained a new class of exact solutions of Einstein's equation without big bang singularity, representing a cylindrically symmetric, inhomogeneous cosmological model filled with perfect fluid which is smooth and regular everywhere satisfying energy and causality conditions. Later, Ruis and Senovilla [47] have separated out a fairly large class of singularity free models through a comprehensive study of general cylindrically symmetric metric with separable function of r and t as metric coefficients. Dadhich et al. [48] have established a link between the FRW model and the singularity free family by deducing the latter through a natural and simple in-homogenization and anisotropization of the former. Recently Bali and Tyagi [49], Pradhan et al. [50, 51] obtained a plane-symmetric inhomogeneous cosmological models of perfect fluid distribution with electro-magnetic field.

The occurrence of magnetic fields on galactic scale is well-established fact today, and their importance for a variety of astrophysical phenomena is generally acknowledged as pointed out by Zeldovich et al. [52]. Also Harrison [53] has suggested that magnetic field could have a cosmological origin. As a natural consequences, we should include magnetic fields in the energy-momentum tensor of the early universe. The choice of anisotropic cosmological models in Einstein system of field equations leads to the cosmological models more general than Robertson-Walker model [54]. Strong magnetic fields can be created due to adiabatic compression in clusters of galaxies. Primordial asymmetry of particle (say electron) over antiparticle (say positron) have been well established as CP (charged parity) violation. Asseo and Sol [55] speculated the large-scale inter galactic magnetic field and is of primordial origin at present measure 10⁻⁸ G and gives rise to a density of order 10^{-35} g cm⁻³. The present day magnitude of magnetic energy is very small in comparison with the estimated matter density, it might not have been negligible during early stage of evolution of the universe. FRW models are approximately valid as present day magnetic field is very small. The existence of a primordial magnetic field is limited to Bianchi Types I, II, III, VI_0 and VII_0 as shown by Hughston and Jacobs [56]. Large-scale magnetic fields give rise to anisotropies in the universe. The anisotropic pressure created by the magnetic fields dominates the evolution of the shear anisotropy and it decays slower than if the pressure was isotropic [57, 58]. Such fields can be generated at the end of an inflationary epoch [59–61]. Anisotropic magnetic field models have significant contribution in the evolution of galaxies and stellar objects.

2 The Metric and Field Equations

We consider the metric in the form of Marder [62]

$$ds^{2} = A^{2}(dx^{2} - dt^{2}) + B^{2}dy^{2} + C^{2}dz^{2},$$
(1)

where the metric potential A, B and C are functions of x and t. The energy momentum tensor is taken as

$$T_{i}^{j} = (\rho + p)v_{i}v^{j} + pg_{i}^{j} + E_{i}^{j}, \qquad (2)$$

where E_i^j is the electro-magnetic field given by Lichnerowicz [63] as

$$E_i^j = \bar{\mu} \bigg[h_l h^l \bigg(v_i v^j + \frac{1}{2} g_i^j \bigg) - h_i h^j \bigg].$$
⁽³⁾

Here ρ and p are the energy density and isotropic pressure respectively and v^i is the flow vector satisfying the relation

$$g_{ii}v^iv^j = -1. (4)$$

 $\bar{\mu}$ is the magnetic permeability and h_i the magnetic flux vector defined by

$$h_i = \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} * F_{ji} v^j, \tag{5}$$

where $*F_{ij}$ is the dual electro-magnetic field tensor defined by Synge [64]

$$^{*}F_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} F^{kl}.$$
 (6)

 F_{ij} is the electro-magnetic field tensor and ϵ_{ijkl} is the Levi-Civita tensor density. The coordinates are considered to be comoving so that $v^1 = 0 = v^2 = v^3$ and $v^4 = \frac{1}{A}$. We consider that the current is flowing along the z-axis so that $h_3 \neq 0$, $h_1 = 0 = h_2 = h_4$. The only non-vanishing component of F_{ij} is F_{12} . The Maxwell's equations

$$F_{ij;k} + F_{jk;i} + F_{ki;j} = 0, (7)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}F^{ij}\right]_{;j} = 0,\tag{8}$$

require that F_{12} be function of x alone. We assume that the magnetic permeability as a function of x and t both. Here the semicolon represents a covariant differentiation.

The Einstein's field equations (in gravitational units c = 1, G = 1) read as

$$R_i^j - \frac{1}{2}Rg_i^j + \Lambda g_i^j = -8\pi T_i^j,$$
(9)

for the line element (1) has been set up as

$$8\pi A^{2} \left(p + \frac{F_{12}^{2}}{2\bar{\mu}A^{2}B^{2}} \right) = -\frac{B_{44}}{B} - \frac{C_{44}}{C} + \frac{A_{4}}{A} \left(\frac{B_{4}}{B} + \frac{C_{4}}{C} \right) + \frac{A_{1}}{A} \left(\frac{B_{1}}{B} + \frac{C_{1}}{C} \right) + \frac{B_{1}C_{1}}{BC} - \frac{B_{4}C_{4}}{BC} - \Lambda A^{2}, \quad (10)$$

$$8\pi A^2 \left(p + \frac{F_{12}^2}{2\bar{\mu}A^2B^2} \right) = -\left(\frac{A_4}{A}\right)_4 + \left(\frac{A_1}{A}\right)_1 - \frac{C_{44}}{C} + \frac{C_{11}}{C} - \Lambda A^2, \tag{11}$$

$$8\pi A^2 \left(p - \frac{F_{12}^2}{2\bar{\mu}A^2B^2} \right) = -\left(\frac{A_4}{A}\right)_4 + \left(\frac{A_1}{A}\right)_1 - \frac{B_{44}}{B} + \frac{B_{11}}{B} - \Lambda A^2,$$
(12)

$$8\pi A^{2} \left(\rho + \frac{F_{12}^{2}}{2\bar{\mu}A^{2}B^{2}} \right) = -\frac{B_{11}}{B} - \frac{C_{11}}{C} + \frac{A_{1}}{A} \left(\frac{B_{1}}{B} + \frac{C_{1}}{C} \right) + \frac{A_{4}}{A} \left(\frac{B_{4}}{B} + \frac{C_{4}}{C} \right) - \frac{B_{1}C_{1}}{BC} + \frac{B_{4}C_{4}}{BC} + \Lambda A^{2}, \quad (13)$$

$$0 = \frac{B_{14}}{B} + \frac{C_{14}}{C} - \frac{A_1}{A} \left(\frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C}\right) - \frac{A_4}{A} \left(\frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C}\right),$$
(14)

where the subindices 1 and 4 in A, B, C and elsewhere indicate ordinary differentiation with respect to x and t, respectively.

3 Solution of the Field Equations

Equations (10)–(12) lead to

$$\left(\frac{A_4}{A}\right)_4 - \frac{B_{44}}{B} + \frac{A_4}{A}\left(\frac{B_4}{B} + \frac{C_4}{C}\right) - \frac{B_4C_4}{BC}$$
$$= \left(\frac{A_1}{A}\right)_1 + \frac{C_{11}}{C} - \frac{A_1}{A}\left(\frac{B_1}{B} + \frac{C_1}{C}\right) - \frac{B_1C_1}{BC} = a \text{ (constant)}, \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{8\pi F_{12}^2}{\bar{\mu}B^2} = \frac{B_{44}}{B} - \frac{B_{11}}{B} + \frac{C_{11}}{C} - \frac{C_{44}}{C}.$$
(16)

Equations (10)–(14) represent a system of five equations in seven unknowns A, B, C, ρ , p, Λ and $\bar{\mu}$. For the complete determination of these unknowns two more conditions are needed. As in the case of general-relativistic cosmologies, the introduction of inhomogeneities into the cosmological equations produces a considerable increase in mathematical difficulty: non-linear partial differential equations must now be solved. In practice, this means that we must proceed either by means of approximations which render the non-linearities tractable, or we must introduce particular symmetries into the metric of the spacetime in order to reduce the number of degrees of freedom which the inhomogeneities can exploit. In the present case, we assume that the metric is Petrov type-II non-degenerate. This requires that

$$\left(\frac{B_{11} + B_{44} + 2B_{14}}{B}\right) - \left(\frac{C_{11} + C_4 + 2C_{14}}{C}\right)$$
$$= \frac{2(A_1 + A_4)(B_1 + B_4)}{AB} - \frac{2(A_1 + A_4)(C_1 + C_4)}{AC}.$$
(17)

Let us consider that

$$A = f(x)\lambda(t),$$

$$B = g(x)\mu(t),$$

$$C = g(x)\nu(t).$$

(18)

Using (18) in (14) and (17), we get

$$\left[\frac{\frac{g_4}{g} - \frac{f_1}{f}}{\frac{g_1}{g}}\right] = \left[\frac{\frac{2\lambda_4}{\lambda}}{\frac{\mu_4}{\mu} + \frac{\nu_4}{\nu}}\right] = b \text{ (constant)}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\frac{\frac{\mu_{44}}{\mu} - \frac{\nu_{44}}{\nu}}{\frac{\mu_4}{\mu} - \frac{\nu_4}{\nu}} - \frac{2\lambda_4}{\lambda} = 2\left(\frac{f_1}{f} - \frac{g_1}{g}\right) = L \text{ (constant).}$$
(20)

Equation (19) leads to

$$f = ng^{(1-b)},\tag{21}$$

and

$$\lambda = m(\mu\nu)^{\frac{b}{2}},\tag{22}$$

where m and n are constants of integration. Equations (15), (18) and (20) lead to

$$\left(\frac{b}{2} - 1\right)\frac{\mu_{44}}{\mu} + (b - 1)\frac{\mu_4\nu_4}{\mu\nu} = a,$$
(23)

and

$$(2-b)\frac{g_{11}}{g} + (3b-4)\frac{g_1^2}{g^2} = a.$$
 (24)

Let us assume

$$\mu = e^{U+W},\tag{25}$$

and

$$\nu = e^{U - W}.\tag{26}$$

Equations (20), (25) and (26) lead to

$$W_4 = M e^{Lt + 2(b-1)U}, (27)$$

where M is constant. From (23), (25), (26) and (27), we have

$$(b-1)U_{44} + 2(b-1)U_4^2 - 2bMe^{Lt+2(b-1)U}U_4 - MLe^{Lt+2(b-1)U} = a.$$
 (28)

If we put $e^{2U} = \xi$ in (28), we obtain

$$\frac{(b-1)}{2}\frac{d^2\xi}{dt^2} - M\frac{d}{dt}(e^{Lt}\xi^b) = a\xi.$$
(29)

If we consider $\xi = e^{ht}$, then (29) leads to

$$\frac{(b-1)}{2}g^2e^{ht} - M\frac{d}{dt}(e^{Lt}e^{hbt}) = ae^{ht},$$
(30)

which again reduces to

$$h = \frac{L}{1 - b} \tag{31}$$

and

$$a = \frac{L(L+2M)}{2(b-1)}.$$
(32)

Thus

$$U = \frac{Lt}{2(1-b)}.$$
 (33)

D Springer

Equations (27) and (33) reduce to

$$W = Mt + \log N,\tag{34}$$

where N is an integrating constant. Equation (24) leads to

$$g = \beta \sinh^{\frac{2-b}{2(b-1)}} (\alpha x + \delta), \tag{35}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2a(b-1)}}{(2-b)}, \quad \beta = \beta_0^{\frac{2-b}{2(b-1)}}$$

and β_0 , δ being constants of integration. Hence

$$f = n\beta \sinh^{\frac{b-2}{2(b-1)}} (\alpha x + \delta), \tag{36}$$

$$\lambda = m e^{\frac{Lbt}{2(1-b)}},\tag{37}$$

$$\mu = e^{\frac{Lbt}{2(1-b)} + Mt + \log N},$$
(38)

$$\nu = e^{\frac{Lbt}{2(1-b)} - Mt - \log N}.$$
(39)

Therefore, we have

$$A = f\lambda = mn\beta e^{\frac{Lbt}{2(1-b)}} \sinh^{\frac{b-2}{2}} (\alpha x + \delta),$$
(40)

$$B = g\mu = N\beta e^{(\frac{L}{1-b}+2M)\frac{t}{2}} \sinh^{\frac{2-b}{2(b-1)}} (\alpha x + \delta),$$
(41)

$$C = gv = \frac{\beta}{N} e^{\left(\frac{L}{1-b} - 2M\right)\frac{t}{2}} \sinh^{\frac{2-b}{2(b-1)}} (\alpha x + \delta).$$
(42)

By using the transformation

$$\alpha X = \alpha x + \delta,$$

$$Y = Gy,$$

$$Z = Hz,$$

$$T = t,$$
(43)

the metric (1) reduces to the form

$$ds^{2} = K^{2} \sinh^{b-2} (\alpha X) e^{\frac{LTb}{1-b}} (dX^{2} - dT^{2}) + \sinh^{\frac{2-b}{b-1}} (\alpha X) e^{(\frac{L}{1-b} + 2M)T} dY^{2} + \sinh^{\frac{2-b}{b-1}} (\alpha X) e^{(\frac{L}{1-b} - 2M)T} dZ^{2},$$
(44)

where $K = mn\beta$, $G = N\beta$ and $H = \frac{\beta}{N}$.

D Springer

4 Some Physical and Geometric Features

The physical parameters, pressure (p) and density (ρ) , for the model (44) are given by

$$8\pi p = \frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)^2 \alpha^2}{4(b-1)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2-b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) \right\} - \frac{L^2}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 \right] - \Lambda,$$

$$8\pi \rho = \frac{1}{1-2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)} \left\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \right\}$$
(45)

$$\pi \rho = \frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \left\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \right\} + \frac{L^2(2b-1)}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \right] + \Lambda.$$
(46)

In this case to find the explicit value of cosmological constant $\Lambda(t)$, one may assume that the fluid obey an equation of state of the form

$$p = \gamma \rho \tag{47}$$

where $\gamma \ (0 \le \gamma \le 1)$ is a constant.

Using (47) in (45) and then solving with (46), we have

$$8\pi (1+\gamma)\rho = \frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)(b^2-2b+4)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)^2} \coth^2 (\alpha X) + \frac{b(b-2)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)} - \frac{L^2}{2(1-b)} - 2M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \right].$$
(48)

Eliminating γ from (46) and (48), we obtain

$$(1+\gamma)\Lambda = \frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)(b^2 - 4\gamma b + 4(b+1))\alpha^2}{4(b-1)^2} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - \frac{(b-2)(2+2\gamma - b)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)} - \frac{L^2(\gamma(2b-1)+1)}{4(1-b)^2} - (1-\gamma)M^2 + \frac{\gamma ML}{(1-b)} \right].$$
(49)

From (46) and (48), we note that $\rho(t)$ is the decreasing function of time and $\rho > 0$ for all time. This behavior is clearly shown in Fig. 1, as a representative case with appropriate choice of constants of integration and other physical parameters using reasonably well known situations.

In spite of homogeneity at large scale our universe is inhomogeneous at small scale, so physical quantities being position dependent are more natural in our observable universe if we do not go to super high scale. This result show this kind of physical importance. In recent time theoreticians and observers draw high attention on Λ -terms due to various reasons. The non-trivial role of the vacuum in early universe generates a Λ that leads to inflationary phase. Observationally this term provides an additional parameter to accommodate conflicting data on the value of Hubble's constant, deceleration parameter, the density parameters and the



age of universe [65] and [66]. Assuming that Λ owes it origin to vacuum interactions, as suggested in particular by Sakharav [67], it follows that it would in general be a function of space and time co-ordinates, rather than a strict constant. In a homogeneous universe Λ will be most time dependent [68]. In our case this approach can generate Λ that varies both with space and time. In considering the nature of local massive objects, however the space dependence of Λ can not ignored. For detail discussion, the readers are advised to see the reference (Narlikar, Pecker and Vigier [69], Ray and Ray [70], Tiwari, Ray and Bhadra [71]).

From (49), we see that cosmological constant Λ is a decreasing function of time and it approaches a small positive value at late time. This behavior is clearly shown in Fig. 2. Recent cosmological observations suggest the existence of positive cosmological constant Λ with the magnitude $\Lambda(\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}) \approx 10^{-123}$. These observations on magnitude and red-shift of type Ia supernova suggest that our universe may be an accelerating one with induced cosmological density through the cosmological Λ -term. Thus the model presented in this paper is consistent with the results of recent observations. The non-vanishing component F_{12} of the electromagnetic field tensor is given by

$$F_{12} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\mu}}{8\pi} \frac{2ML}{(1-b)}} Ge^{(\frac{L}{1-b} + 2M)\frac{T}{2}} \sinh^{\frac{2-b}{2(b-1)}} (\alpha X), \tag{50}$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ remains undetermined as function of x and t both.

The scalar of expansion (θ) calculated for the flow vector (v^i) is given by

$$\theta = \frac{L(b+2)}{2K(1-b)} e^{\frac{LbT}{2(b-1)}} \sinh^{\frac{(2-b)}{2}} (\alpha X).$$
(51)

The shear scalar (σ^2), acceleration vector (\dot{v}_i), deceleration parameter q, proper volume V and Hubble parameter H for the model (45) are given by

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{(L^{2} + 12M^{2})}{12K^{2}} e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \sinh^{(2-b)}(\alpha X),$$
(52)

$$\dot{v}_i = \left(\frac{1}{2}(b-2)\alpha \coth(\alpha X), 0, 0, 0\right),\tag{53}$$

$$q = -\frac{4K^2(b+1)^2}{81(b+2)^2} \exp\left(\frac{LbT}{1-b}\right) \sinh^{(b-2)}(\alpha X),$$
(54)

$$V = \sqrt{-g} = K^2 G H e^{\frac{L(b+1)T}{(1-b)}} \sinh^{\frac{(b-2)(b-3)}{(b-1)}} (\alpha X),$$
(55)

$$H = \frac{3L(b+2)}{2K(1-b)} e^{\frac{LbT}{2(b-1)}} \sinh^{\frac{(2-b)}{2}} (\alpha X).$$
(56)

From (51) and (52), we have

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{\theta^2} = \frac{(L^2 + 12M^2)(1 - b^2)}{3L^2(b+2)^2} = \text{constant.}$$
(57)

The rotation ω is identically zero and the non-vanishing component of conformal curvature tensor are given by

$$C_{(1212)} = \frac{1}{6K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \sinh^{(2-b)} (\alpha X) \left[b\alpha - \frac{L^2}{4b} + 3ML - 2M^2 \right],$$
 (58)

$$C_{(1313)} = \frac{1}{6K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \sinh^{(2-b)} (\alpha X) \left[b\alpha - \frac{L^2}{4b} - 3ML - 2M^2 \right],$$
 (59)

$$C_{(2323)} = \frac{1}{3K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \sinh^{(2-b)} (\alpha X) \left[-b\alpha + \frac{L^2}{4b} + \frac{ML}{(1-b)} + 2M^2 \right], \tag{60}$$

$$C_{(1224)} = \frac{ML}{2K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \sinh^{(2-b)} (\alpha X).$$
(61)

The reality conditions (Ellis [72])

(i)
$$\rho + p > 0$$
, (ii) $\rho + 3p > 0$,

lead to

$$\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)^2} \Big[(b^2 - 2b + 4) \coth^2(\alpha X) + b(1-b) \Big]$$

> $\frac{L^2}{2(1-b)} + 2M^2 + \frac{ML}{(1-b)},$ (62)

and

$$e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \left[\frac{(2-b)(3b^2-10b+12)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)^2} \coth^2(\alpha X) + \frac{(2-b)(3b-4)\alpha^2}{4(1-b)} + \frac{L^2(2b-4)}{4(1-b)^2} - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} - 4M^2 \right] + 2K^2\Lambda\sinh^{(b-2)}(\alpha X) > 0$$
(63)

respectively.

The dominant energy condition is given by Hawking and Ellis [73]

(i)
$$\rho - p \ge 0$$
, (ii) $\rho + p \ge 0$

lead to

$$e^{\frac{LbT}{(b-1)}} \left[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \left\{ \frac{(b^2-6b+4)}{2(1-b)} \coth^2(\alpha X) + \frac{b-4}{2} \right\} + \frac{L^2b}{2(1-b)^2} - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \right] + 2K^2\Lambda\sinh^{(b-2)}(\alpha X) \ge 0$$
(64)

and

$$\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{4(b-1)^2} \Big[(b^2 - 2b + 4) \coth^2(\alpha X) + b(1-b) \Big]$$

$$\geq \frac{L^2}{2(1-b)} + 2M^2 + \frac{ML}{(1-b)},$$
(65)

respectively.

5 Thermodynamical Behavior and Entropy of Universe

From the thermodynamics [74, 75], we apply the combination of first and second law of thermodynamics to the system with volume V. As we know that

$$\mathcal{T}dS = d(\rho V) + pdV \tag{66}$$

where T_{i} , S represents the temperature and entropy respectively.

Equation (66) may be written as

$$TdS = d[(\rho + p)V] - Vdp.$$
(67)

The integrability condition is necessary to define a prefect fluid as a thermodynamical system [76, 77]. It is given by

$$dp = \frac{\rho + p}{\zeta} d\zeta. \tag{68}$$

Plugging (68) in (67), we have the differential equation

$$dS = \frac{1}{\zeta} d[(\rho + p)V] - (\rho + p)V \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2}$$
(69)

we rewrite (69) as

$$dS = d\left[\frac{(\rho+p)V}{\zeta} + c\right]$$
(70)

where c is constant.

Hence the entropy is defined as

$$S = \frac{\rho + p}{\zeta} V. \tag{71}$$

Let the entropy density be s, so that

$$s = \frac{S}{V} = \frac{\rho + p}{\zeta} = \frac{(1 + \gamma)\rho}{\zeta}$$
(72)

where $p = \gamma \rho$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

If we define the entropy density in terms of temperature then the first law of thermodynamics may be written as

$$d(\rho V) + \gamma \rho dV = (1+\rho) \zeta d\left(\frac{\rho V}{\zeta}\right)$$
(73)

which on integration yields

$$\bar{\zeta} = c_0 \rho^{\frac{\gamma}{(1+\gamma)}} \tag{74}$$

where c_0 is constant of integration.

From (72) and (74), we obtain

$$s = \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{c_0}\right) \rho^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}.$$
(75)

These equation are not valid for $\gamma = -1$. For the Zel'dovich fluid ($\gamma = 1$), we get

$$\vec{\chi} = c_0 \rho^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(76)

$$s = \frac{2}{c_0} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\Rightarrow s \sim \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \zeta.$$
(77)

Thus the entropy density is proportional to the temperature.

Now the temperature, entropy density and entropy of Zel'dovich universe is given by

$$\begin{split} \bar{Y} &= T_0 \bigg[\frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \bigg[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \bigg\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \bigg\} \\ &+ \frac{L^2 (2b-1)}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \bigg] + \Lambda \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$
(78)

$$s = s_0 \left[\frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \left\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \right\} + \frac{L^2(2b-1)}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \right] + \Lambda \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$S = S_0 \left[e^{\frac{(b-2)LT}{b-1}} \sinh^{\frac{(b-2)(2b-7)}{(b-1)}} (\alpha X) \left[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \left\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \right\} - L^2(2b-1) - 2 - ML \right]$$
(79)

$$+\frac{L^{2}(2b-1)}{4(1-b)^{2}}-M^{2}-\frac{ML}{(1-b)}\right]+\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(80)

where $T_0 = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{8\pi}}$, $s_0 = \frac{2}{c_0\sqrt{8\pi}}$ and $S_0 = s_0 K$ are constant. For radiating fluid $(\gamma = \frac{1}{3})$, we get

$$\vec{X} \sim \rho^{\frac{1}{4}},$$
(81)

$$s \sim \rho^{\frac{3}{4}} \sim T^3. \tag{82}$$

Thus the entropy density is proportional to cube of temperature.

Now the temperature, entropy density and entropy of radiating universe is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T} &= T_{00} \bigg[\frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{bbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \bigg[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \bigg\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \bigg\} \\ &+ \frac{L^2 (2b-1)}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \bigg] + \Lambda \bigg]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{split}$$
(83)
$$s &= s_{00} \bigg[\frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{bbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \bigg[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \bigg\{ \frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2 (\alpha X) - 1 \bigg\} \\ &+ \frac{L^2 (2b-1)}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)} \bigg] + \Lambda \bigg]^{\frac{3}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$
(84)
$$S &= S_{00} e^{\frac{L(b+1)T}{(1-b)}} \sinh^{\frac{(b-2)(b-3)}{(b-1)}} (\alpha X) \bigg[\frac{1}{K^2} e^{\frac{LbT}{b-1}} \sinh^{2-b} (\alpha X) \bigg] \end{split}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{(2-b)\alpha^2}{2(b-1)} \left\{\frac{b}{b-1} \coth^2\left(\alpha X\right) - 1\right\} + \frac{L^2(2b-1)}{4(1-b)^2} - M^2 - \frac{ML}{(1-b)}\right] + \Lambda \right]^{\frac{3}{4}}, (85)$$

where $T_{00} = \frac{c_0}{(8\pi)^{1/2}}$, $s_{00} = \frac{2}{c_0(8\pi)^{1/2}}$ and $S_{00} = s_0 K^2$ are constant.

6 Discussion and Concluding Remarks

The present study deals the plane-symmetric inhomogeneous cosmological model of electro-magnetic perfect fluid as the source of matter. FRW models are approximately valid, as present day magnetic field is very small. Maarteens [78] in his study explained that magnetic fields are observed not only in stars but also in galaxies. In principle, these fields could play a significant role in structure formation but also affect the anisotropies in cosmic microwave background radiation [CMB]. Since the electric and magnetic fields are interrelated,

their independent nature disappears when we consider them as time dependence. Hence, it would be proper to look upon these fields as a single field—electromagnetic field. Generally the model represents expanding, shearing, non-rotating and Petrov type-II non-degenerate universe in which the flow vector is geodesic. We find that the model starts expanding at T = 0 and goes on expanding indefinitely. For large values of T, the model is conformally flat and Petrov type-II non-degenerate. Since $\frac{\sigma}{\theta} = \text{constant}$, hence the model does not approach isotropy. The value of cosmological constant is found to be small and positive supported by recent results from the supernovae observations recently obtained by High-Z Supernovae Ia Team and Supernovae Cosmological Project. The relation between cosmological constant and thermodynamical quantities is established and from (82) and (85), it is clear that cosmological constant affect entropy. The electromagnetic field tensor does not vanish when $L \neq 0$, $M \neq 0$, and $b \neq 1$. For large values of T and L + 2M(1 - b) < 0, F_{12} tends to zero. For b = 1, we obtain singularity and model approach isotropy. b < 1 and b > 1 imposed the restriction on the value of M and L which affect all the physical and kinematical parameters of the model.

In spite of homogeneity at large scale our universe is inhomogeneous at small scale, so physical quantities being position-dependent are more natural in our observable universe if we do not go to super high scale. Our derived model shows this kind of physical importance. The expressions for deceleration parameter (q) and Hubble parameter (H) given by (54) and (56) respectively are functions of x and t as in the case of inhomogeneous cosmological models. Obviously these two expressions are different from that of FRW cosmological model. The present study also extend the work of Yadav and Bagora [79] for inhomogeneous universe with varying Λ and clarify thermodynamics of inhomogeneous universe by introducing the integrability condition and temperature. It is found that $s \sim \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim T$ and $s \sim \rho^{\frac{3}{4}} \sim T^3$, for Zeldo'vich and radiating fluid model respectively. From these expressions it is clear that ρ is the function of temperature and volume, thus a new general equation of state describing the Zel'dovich fluid and radiating fluid models as a function of temperature and volume is found. The basic equations of thermodynamics for inhomogeneous universe has been deduced which may be useful for better understanding of evolution of universe.

References

- 1. Efstathiou, G., Sutherland, W.J., Maddox, S.J.: Nature (Lond.) 348, 705 (1990)
- 2. Kofman, L.A., Gnedin, N.Y., Bahcall, N.A.: Astrophys. J. 413, 1 (1993)
- 3. Ozer, M., Taha, M.O.: Phys. Lett. B 171, 363 (1987)
- 4. Freese, K., Adams, F.C., Frieman, J.A., Mottola, E.: Nucl. Phys. B 287, 776 (1987)
- 5. Gasperini, M.: Phys. Lett. B 194, 347 (1987)
- 6. Chen, W., Wu, Y.S.: Phys. Rev. D 41, 695 (1990)
- 7. Abdel-Rahman, A.-M.M.: Phys. Rev. D 45, 3497 (1992)
- 8. Carvalho, J.C., Lima, J.A.S., Waga, I.: Phys. Rev. D 46, 2404 (1992)
- 9. Salim, J.M., Waga, I.: Class. Quantum Gravity 10, 1767 (1993)
- 10. Berman, M.S.: Phys. Rev. D 43, 1075 (1991)
- 11. Olson, T.S., Jordan, T.F.: Phys. Rev. D 35, 3258 (1987)
- 12. Matyjasek, J.: Phys. Rev. D 51, 4154 (1995)
- 13. Hu, B.L., Parkar, L.: Phys. Lett. A 63, 217 (1977)
- 14. Hu, B.L.: Phys. Lett. A 97, 368 (1983)
- 15. Nesteruk, A.V., Ottewill, A.C.: Class. Quantum Gravity 12, 51 (1995)
- 16. Ratra, B., Peebles, P.J.E.: Phys. Rev. D 37, 3406 (1988)
- 17. Sahni, V., Starobinsky, A.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 9, 373 (2000)
- 18. Carroll, S.M., Press, W.H., Turner, E.L.: Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 30, 499 (1992)
- 19. Peebles, P.J.E.: Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 559 (2003)
- 20. Padmanabhan, T.: Phys. Rep. 380, 235 (2003)

- 21. Padmanabhan, T.: Gen. Relativ. Gravit. (2007). arXiv:0705.2533 [gr-qc]
- 22. Perlmutter, S., et al.: Astrophys. J. 483, 565 (1997)
- 23. Perlmutter, S., et al.: Nature **391**, 51 (1998)
- 24. Perlmutter, S., et al.: Astrophys. J. 517, 565 (1999)
- 25. Riess, A.G., et al.: Astron. J. 116, 1009 (1998)
- 26. Riess, A.G., et al.: Astrophys. J. 560, 49 (2001)
- 27. Riess, A.G., et al.: Astrophys. J. 607, 665 (2004)
- 28. Riess, A.G., et al.: Astrophys. J. 659, 98 (2007)
- 29. Garnavich, P.M., et al.: Astrophys. J. 493, L53 (1998)
- 30. Garnavich, P.M., et al.: Astrophys. J. 509, 74 (1998)
- 31. Schmidt, B.P., et al.: Astrophys. J. 507, 46 (1998)
- 32. Blakeslee, J.P., et al.: Astrophys. J. 589, 693 (2003)
- 33. Astier, P., et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 447, 31 (2006)
- 34. Spergel, D.N., et al.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. 170, 377 (2007)
- 35. Eisentein, D.J., et al.: Astrophys. J. 633, 560 (2005)
- 36. Carroll, S.M.: eConf C0307282, TTH09 (2003) [AIP Conf. Proc. 743, 16 (2005)]
- 37. Kolb, E.W., Matarrese, S., Riotto, A.: New J. Phys. 8, 322 (2006)
- 38. Kolb, E.W., Matarrese, S., Notari, A., Riotto, A.: arXiv:hep-th/0503117
- 39. Upadhye, A., Ishak, M., Steinhardt, P.J.: Phys. Rev. D 72, 063501 (2005)
- 40. Tolman, R.C.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 20, 169 (1934)
- 41. Bondi, H.: Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc. 107, 410 (1947)
- 42. Taub, A.H.: Ann. Math. 53, 472 (1951)
- 43. Taub, A.H.: Phys. Rev. 103, 454 (1956)
- 44. Tomimura, N.: II Nuovo Cimento B 44, 372 (1978)
- 45. Szekeres, P.: Commun. Math. Phys. 41, 55 (1975)
- 46. Senovilla, J.M.M.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2219 (1990)
- 47. Ruiz, E., Senovilla, J.M.M.: Phys. Rev. D 45, 1995 (1990)
- 48. Dadhich, N., Tikekar, R., Patel, L.K.: Curr. Sci. 65, 694 (1993)
- 49. Bali, R., Tyagi, A.: Astrophys. Space Sci. 173, 233 (1990)
- 50. Pradhan, A., Yadav, A.K., Singh, J.P.: Fizika B (Zagreb) 16, 175 (2007)
- 51. Pradhan, A., Singh, P.K., Yadav, A.K.: arXiv:0705.4411 [gr-qc] (2007)
- 52. Zeldovich, Ya.B., Ruzmainkin, A.A., Sokoloff, D.D.: Magnetic Field in Astrophysics. Gordon and Breach, New York (1983)
- 53. Horrison, E.R.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 188 (1973)
- 54. Robertson, H.P., Walker, A.G.: Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 42, 90 (1936)
- 55. Asseo, E., Sol, H.: Phys. Rep. 6, 148 (1987)
- 56. Hughston, L.P., Jacobs, K.C.: Astrophys. J. 160, 147 (1970)
- 57. Barrow, J.D.: Phys. Rev. D 55, 7451 (1997)
- 58. Zeldovich, Ya.A.: Sov. Astron. 13, 608 (1970)
- 59. Turner, M.S., Widrow, L.M.: Phys. Rev. D 30, 2743 (1988)
- 60. Quashnock, J., Loeb, A., Spergel, D.N.: Astrophys. J. 344, L49 (1989)
- 61. Dolgov, A.D.: Phys. Rev. D 48, 2499 (1993)
- 62. Marder, L.: Proc. R. Soc. A 246, 133 (1958)
- Lichnerowicz, A.: Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Magnetohydrodynamics, p. 93. Benjamin, New York (1967)
- 64. Synge, J.L.: Relativity: The General Theory, p. 356. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1960)
- 65. Gunn, J., Tinsley, B.M.: Nature 257, 454 (1975)
- Wampler, E.J., Burke, W.L.: In: Bertola, F., Sulentic, J.W., Madore, B.F. (eds.) New Ideas in Astronomy, p. 317. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988)
- 67. Sakharov, A.D.: Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 177, 70 (1968) (translation, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 12, 1040 (1968))
- 68. Peebles, P.J.E., Ratra, B.: Astrophys. J. 325, L17 (1988)
- 69. Narlikar, J.V., Pecker, J.C., Vigier, J.P.: J. Astrophys. Astron. 12, 7 (1991)
- 70. Ray, S., Ray, D.: Astrophys. Space Sci. 203, 211 (1993)
- 71. Tiwari, R.N., Ray, S., Bhadra, S.: Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 31, 1017 (2000)
- Ellis, G.F.R.: In: Sachs, R.K. (ed.) General Relativity and Cosmology, p. 117. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1973)
- Hawking, S.W., Ellis, G.F.R.: Large-Scale Structure of Space Time, p. 94. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973)
- 74. Santos, F.C., Bedran, M.L., Soares, V.: Phys. Lett. B 636, 86 (2006)
- 75. Santos, F.C., Soares, V., Bedran, M.L.: Phys. Lett. B 646, 215 (2007)
- 76. Kolb, E.W., Turner, M.S.: The Early Universe, p. 65. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1990)
- 77. Myung, Y.S.: arXiv:0810.4385 (2008)
- 78. Maartens, R.: Pramana J. Phys. 55(4), 575 (2000)
- 79. Yadav, A.K., Bagora, A.: Fizika B (Zagreb) 18, 165 (2009)